

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,459	10/25/2001	W. Novis Smith	1109-10	1900
75	7590 04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN LEZDEY			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
1409 A NORTH FT HARRISON CLEARWATER, FL 33755			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany					
		10/001,459	SMITH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746		
Period f	* -	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address &		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 O	ctober 2001.			
, —	•	action is non-final.			
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disp sit	ion of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Information Pape	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail De 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 8, "layer0" should be "layer"; in claims 1 and 6, no period is present at the end of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda et al (U.S. Patent 6,004,693) in view of Cheu (U.S. Patent 6,042,966).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, and 12, the reference teaches a nonaqueous electrolyte cell comprising a battery cell having a tab (18) protruding therefrom (see abstract; Fig. 1). The cell further comprises a sealed pouch having a plurality of layers (see Fig. 2). Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 12, in Example 1, the reference teaches a packaging laminate comprising maleic acid modified low-density polyethylene layers sandwiching an ethylene/vinyl alcohol copolymer layer. Regarding claim 8, the battery is a rechargeable lithium-ion battery (see Example 1). Regarding claims 6 and 10, the package includes an outer layer of aluminum (see Example 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

Regarding claims 1, 5, and 12, the package of Example 1 has a total thickness of 157 microns (6.2 mils). Regarding claims 3 and 4, a sealing strip (24) adjacent the electrode tab may comprises an acrylic or methacrylic acid modified polyolefin (see col. 3, line 55; Fig. 2).

The reference does not expressly teach that the low-density polyethylene layers are adhered to the ethylene/vinyl alcohol layer via tie layers, as recited in claims 1, 5, 9, and 12.

Cheu is also directed to a battery pouch. In column 2, line 4, the reference teaches a polyamide layer adjacent a heat-sealing layer. The reference further teaches that "[o]ther layers which may be present but which are not pictured include tie layers (which act to adhere layers in position), or layers which promote formation or duration of the laminate material or of the pouch."

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use tie layers in the laminate of Fukuda. The artisan would be motivated to use such layers in hopes of improving the adhesion of the LDPE layers of Fukuda to the PE/PVA layer. As such, the use of tie layers to adhere the layers of Fukuda together would be rendered obvious to the skilled artisan.

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached at (571) 272-1302. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Patent Examiner Art Unit 1746 April 13, 2004